

PAPER-2
ENGLISH-LANGUAGE

Maximum Marks: 100

Time allowed: 3 hrs.

Note: All questions are compulsory. Choice has been given only in Question No. I. Marks are allotted against each question.

1. Write an essay in English on any one of the following topics in about 500 words: (50 marks)

(a) Pollution due to Urbanization.

(b) The New Era of MSMEs.

(c) Feminism.

2. Make a précis of the following passage in your own words in about one-third of the original passage and suggest a suitable title to it. (30 marks)

In the Indian Parliamentary system the office of the President is like a "pivot" that joins the two wheels, namely the legislature and the executive, although his role is not so "pivotal". As stated earlier, the parliamentary system believes the doctrine of strict separation of powers. Recall that, it is based on the fusion of powers wherein the executive is a part of the legislature. The office of the President is a constitutional conjunction where the legislative and the executive organs meet. At this, all executive powers are constitutionally vested in him (Article 53). On the other hand, the President of India is (also an integral component of the Indian Parliament (Article 79). No bill without the assent of the President can become a law. The president has the power to summon either House of the Parliament, prorogue either House, and dissolve the Lower House. In addition to these, the President has also the power to legislate while the Houses are not in session. This will form the basic premise on which the legislature-executive relations will be discussed.

In our system, all governmental functions are carried in the name of the President. Article 74 of the Constitution puts on the President strict limitations on the exercise of

executive powers. Prior to the 42nd Amendment, 1976, there was a little bit of ambiguity contained in this Article. It was argued that the President is not bound to render conformity to the ministerial advice. It was no secret that President (Dr.) Rajendra Prasad had disagreements on many issues with Prime Minister Nehru. The disagreements erupted into the public arena. Public statements made by the President amounted to veiled criticism of the government. Harnessing their ambiguity seemingly inherent to Article 74, Dr. Prasad ignited a public debate and called for the legal scrutiny of the President's power. The Indira Gandhi government by the 42nd Amendment, 1976, made it obligatory upon the President to act upon the ministerial advice. The 44th Amendment, 1978, empowered the President to revert the advice for reconsideration. Most of the Presidents after Dr. Rajendra Prasad were far more restrained. The main issue here is whether Presidential activism is good or bad. Does it hurt Parliamentary sentiments?

3. Translate into English: (20 marks)

यह सुनिश्चित करने की आवश्यकता है कि किसी भी स्तर की अदालतों में न्यायाधीशों के पद रिक्त न रहें। यह एक तथ्य है कि उच्चतम न्यायालय से लेकर निचली अदालतों में न्यायाधीशों के तमाम पद रिक्त पड़े हैं। ये रिक्त पद न्याय की गति को बुरी तरह प्रभावित कर रहे हैं। हमारे देश की छोटी-बड़ी अदालतें मुकदमों के बोझ तले दबी हुई हैं। एक अनुमान के अनुसार तीन करोड़ से अधिक मामले लंबित हैं। स्पष्ट है कि इससे भी अधिक संख्या में लोग न्याय के लिए प्रतीक्षारत होंगे। इतने अधिक लंबित मामलों को देखते हुए जरूरत केवल न्यायाधीशों के रिक्त पदों को प्राथमिकता के आधार पर भरने की ही नहीं बल्कि उनकी संख्या बढ़ाने की भी है। उच्चतम न्यायालय लोगों को समय पर न्याय उपलब्ध कराने के लिए अपने स्तर पर जो पहल कर रहा है उसमें केन्द्र और राज्यों को सक्रिय सहयोग देने के लिए आगे आना चाहिए।